A NEW MS OF THE VATICANUS PARADOXOGRAPHUS

The history of the editions of the small collection—largely but not entirely derived from similar collections—of paradoxa known as the *Vaticanus Paradoxographus* (vel Rohdii) has not been happy. The editio princeps, by Erwin Rohde,¹ was like its successors based on a single MS, V = Vat. Gr. 12, foll. 212^r–215^v. This edition was full of errors, which are to be explained only partly, one assumes, by the physical condition of the MS: the paper and pen were of poor quality (to the extent that it is now impossible to divine what the scribe intended in one instance: cf. line 64). The next editor, O. Keller,² corrected some of Rohde's errors, preserved others, and added more of his own. The last editor, A. Giannini,³ was justly critical of his predecessors, but his own edition is not so free of fault that one can confidently accept what he reports, where that report differs from those of Rohde and Keller.⁴

There is, moreover, another MS which contains part of the work and which I refer to as D(ecurtatus).⁵ In what follows, I print the readings of D (where they differ from Giannini's text) and V (where they have been misreported, in text or apparatus, or omitted by Giannini).

- 2 φησιν: φασιν V 3 μέλλωσιν: μέλλουσιν D 4 άγνίσασαν D, corr. Rohde διαπεραιώνται D, corr. Rohde, διαπερεώνται V 9 Δαλίων: Θαλία D 10 κροκότταν: 17 σφήκαν: σφήκα D 20 "Αναιμα: "Εναιμα D (E a rubricatore) 20 22 ιχθῦες: ιχθῦς DV 22 διό, έὰν; δι' δν καὶ δταν DV 22 πλείους: πλείω D διώκηται D, corr. Rohde 22 ò om. D 26 τà om. D 29 κᾶν: ἐν DV 30 31 $\dagger \pi \lambda \eta \delta is \dagger \dot{\omega}s$: $\pi \lambda \delta i \delta is$ καὶ D, $\pi \lambda \epsilon i \delta is$ Γάγγη: σάγγη D 30 μεδίμνας: μεδίμνους D καὶ V6 35 τὸ μὲν ἐν: τὸ μὲν Dac τὸ ἐν Dpc τὸ ών ἐν V 36 πέσσεσθαι: πήσσεσθαι DV37 Λυγκήσταις: λυγγίσταις D (ut V) 37 τι είναι: είναι D είναι V^7 50 φυλλῶν: φύλλων τούτων V εύρίσκεσθαι: εύρίσκεται DV 58 εί δ' ού; εί δ' οὖν V^8 59 Πέρινθος: Πείρινθος V 63 Μαρσύας: Μαρσίας V 64 $\eta \nu \pi \omega_s$: $\eta \pi \omega$ (?)
- 1. "Isigoni Nicaeensis de rebus mirabilibus breviarium e codice Vaticano nunc primum edidit," Acta Societatis philologicae Lipsiensis 1 (1871): 25-42. Cf. A. Nauck, "Kritische Bemerkungen VI: Zu Isigonus Nicaeensis," Bulletin de l'Académie impériale des sciences de St.-Pétersbourg 17 (1872): 262-63, several of whose emendations of Rohde's text are actually in V.
 - 2. Rerum naturalium Scriptores Graeci minores, vol. 1 (Leipzig, 1877), pp. 106-15.
- 3. Paradoxographorum Graecorum reliquiae = Classici Greci e Latini (Milan, 1965), pp. 331-51. In his review (Mnemosyne, ser. 4, 23 [1970]: 91), D. Holwerda was able to correct three mistaken readings from the page reproduced in Giannini.
 - 4. I use throughout Giannini's line numbering.
- 5. It is the final folium (284^{TV}) of Vat. Gr. 1144, a "Mischhandschrift" of saec. xiv/xv. The text extends to line 49 $\alpha\mu\epsilon\iota\phi\theta\eta\nu\alpha\iota$. D and V are descended from a common ancestor: both, for example, have intrusive $\phi\eta\sigma\iota$ at 39 (Giannini) or 40 (Rohde, Keller). There are no errors which qualify as separative, but D > V seems excluded by 9 $\theta\alpha\lambda\iota\alpha$ D, $\Delta\alpha\lambda\iota\omega\nu$ V. V > D is, I think, excluded by the number of errors in V.
- 6. There is no doubt about the text of V here. The letter which Giannini interprets as η cannot be that, since the right hasta of this scribe's η regularly descends to or below the line (see the plate after p. 342, lines 2 and 5). The abbreviation which Giannini interprets as $\kappa \alpha l$ is $\dot{\omega}s$ (the same abbreviation at line 36), but $\dot{\omega}s$ should be retained as an emendation.

For discussion and other emendations (rendered unnecessary by the reading of D), see E. K. Borthwick, "Lucretius' Elephant Wall," CQ 23 (1973): 292 and n. 3.

- 7. The squiggle over ειναι in V probably represents breathing and accent. It cannot be τι.
- 8. The reading of V may be due to a lapsus oculi from 56: $\epsilon \bar{l} \delta'$ ov, corrected there to $\epsilon \bar{l} \delta'$ ov by Rohde.

 \mathbf{v} 65 Μαρσύου: Μαρσίου V 70 ψυχρός: Ψυχρός V9 79 "Ιβηρσιν: "Ιβερσιν 81 ὑφήνασαι sic V¹⁰ v 86 τοῦτο τῶ: τούτων τῶ V 89 κτάνωσι: κτείνωσι v 93 τινας: τινα V 96 γυνή 'κγίνεται; γυνή γίνεται V 110 δητα βαίνει: διαβαίνει 118 ἀποσπασθείσαν: ἀποσπασθείσα V 119 Σκύλλαιον: Σκύλαιον V 124 Γέτας: Γαίτας V έκεῖνο: ἐκείνου V 124 επιτυμπανίζειν: αποτυμπανίζει V 157 166 δέ: τε V πείθονται: πύθονται V 175 ταις παρθένοις: ταις lac. παρθένοις 177 έπινοήση: ἐπινοήσει V 179 βίον: βίου V 180 άφίενται: άφεινται V 186 χείρα ἢ ὀφθαλμὸν: χείρ \mathfrak{a} ἢ ὀθφαλμ $\hat{\varphi}$ V^{11} 192 στρατεύσωνται: στρατεύωνται V 204 άνύοντες $\operatorname{Sic} V$ 207 κατακρημνίζουσιν: κατακρυμνίζουσιν V220 215 ξαυτῶν sic V τῶν non hab. V.

JOHN J. KEANEY
Princeton University

^{9.} A proper noun is intended: these are regularly signaled, as here, by a suprascript stroke.

^{10.} The MS is damaged here, but ὑφη[ν]άσαι is beyond doubt.

^{11.} Iotas subscript, used here, are comparatively rare in this MS.